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## The problem of <br> distance/height estimation

## Navigating in an unknown environment

$\rightarrow$ Importance of accurate visual distance estimation with minimalistic equipement

## Previous studies:

- Stereovision [Moore et al. (2009)]
- Monocular vision for depth perception [Saxena et al. (2007)]
- Optic flow (OF) cues [Serres et al. (2017), Ho et al. (2017)]


Images taken from a stereo pair of cameras, and the depthmap calculated by a stereo system [Saxena et al. (2007)].


Flow deck V2 by Bitcraze [5]

## Self-oscillations in honeybees

OF divergence: pattern of contractions and expansions in the OF vector field

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{D I V}^{t h}=\frac{v_{h}}{h} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$


used to observe the state vector of the oscillating system

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left[h ; v_{h}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A


Vertical tunnel (Portelli et al, 2011)


Self-oscillations observed in honeybees in horizontal (A) and vertical (B) tunnels.

## Computation of the OF divergence

The OF divergence can be computed as the subtraction between the magnitudes measured by two OF sensors.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{D I V}^{\text {meas }}=\omega(\phi)-\omega(-\phi) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## Local OF divergence measurement

$$
\omega(\phi)=\frac{||\vec{V}||}{D} \sin \left(\widehat{\vec{D}, \vec{V}) \quad(4) \longrightarrow} \begin{array}{rl}
\omega(\phi) & =\frac{\sqrt{v_{x}^{2}+v_{h}^{2}}}{D} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\phi+\alpha\right) \\
\omega(\phi) & =\frac{v_{x}}{D} \sin \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}-\phi\right)+\frac{v_{h}}{D} \sin (\phi) \\
\omega(-\phi) & =\frac{v_{x}}{D} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\phi\right)-\frac{v_{h}}{D} \sin (\phi) \tag{7}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using (6) and (7), (3) can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(\phi)-\omega(-\phi)=2 \frac{v_{h}}{D} \sin (\phi) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $D=h \cos (\phi)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega(\phi)-\omega(-\phi)=2 \frac{v_{h}}{h} \sin (\phi) \cos (\phi)  \tag{9}\\
\omega(\phi)-\omega(-\phi)=\frac{v_{h}}{h} \sin (2 \phi) \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

## The test bench

The model:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xrightarrow{\Omega[\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}]} \sqrt[\begin{array}{c}
\text { Slider } \\
\text { dynamics }
\end{array}]{\mathrm{V}_{\Omega}[\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}]} \rightarrow \stackrel{\mathrm{R}}{ } \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{h}}[\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}]} \sqrt{\mathrm{R}[\mathrm{~m}]} \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\dot{X}=A \cdot X+B \cdot u=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
0 & -54.27
\end{array}\right] X+\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0.3498
\end{array}\right] u \\
Y=C \cdot X+D \cdot u=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right] X
\end{array}\right. \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Non-linear measurement equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\omega_{D I V}=\frac{v_{h}}{h} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\rightarrow$ use of an Extended Kalman Filter (EKF)

## Distance estimation: bright illuminance



Average error values computed after convergence (3s): $0.31 \%, 12.09 \%, 3.29 \%$ and $8.29 \%$. International Conference on Unmanned Aircraft Systems (ICUAS), IEEE, 2021

## Distance estimation: low illuminance



Average error values computed after convergence (3s): 4.49\%, 15.73\%, 12.03\% and 5.41\%. International Conference on Unmanned Aircraft Systems (ICUAS), IEEE, 2021

## Conclusions \& Future work

- Reliability of the computation of the OF divergence as the subtraction of two OF magnitudes
- Reliability of the distance estimation performed with OF divergence computed
- Interesting for flying robotic applications
- Future work:
$>$ To estimate larger distance with larger $\phi$ angle
$>$ To sense optic flow with wider optical aperture lenses


Draco-R UVIFY [8]
$>$ To test this method on a flying robot in front of a surface
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